This is a posters of memprendum of summing of hearing which went to the Judge for the Order that was banded down.

t 1988 (1988) Charles Cheese Earlie (1982) and Carles (1982) and Carles (1982) and Carles (1982) and Carles (1982)

A Miles Day of the Comment of the Comment

MOTORIA IN THE RESIDENCE

General Assembly. Wen though a number of churches did not approve of the union the property and rights passed because the action was in conformity with the constitution and by-laws of the two denominational groups.

-

The state of the s

There will no doubt be an attempt by the defendants in this particular case to say that the existing National Salem Organization is a reconstituted denominational body and not the continuing denominational group which existed prior to 1949. The only difficulty with that line of argument is that there is no evidence to support such a position. In the first place, such a resition requires that the defendants first show that the re has been a volid, legally binding merger of denominational groups.

This, as has been pointed out, is not shown by any action in conformity with the constitution of the National Salem organization nor incidentally has there been any showing that such action conforms with the charter and by-laws of the National tanbury organization.

Latinal stanbury organization was a corporation created and existing under the laws of the state of missouri from 189% to the date this controversy arose. That on or about scotter 5, 1949, there was filed in the office of the secretary of state of the state of missouri, an application by the general conference of the Church of God for a pro-forma decree amending its charter.

Contified copy of this decree is filed with plaintiff's evidence and in the decree articles 1, 2 and 3 of the corporation are apparently amended but no where is any mention made of any reunion, merger or other consolidation by the Nati mal Stanbury Organization with any other incorporated or unincorporated religious body.

This should be proof enough that what was attempted here was not a merger but a transfer of assets without consideration, without

It took seven years through the Court for this order & be kanded down. This is why it wan told we were another Organization operating at Silem dering these Seven year, of Course many believed this & he me.

 $C(u) = C(u) = \frac{u}{C(u)} + \frac{$

 $\frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial f_{i$

There are 28 pages I the memorandeen lest In have enclosed only two + the finding of the Court dong with the Fele number so it can be verified as to legal records. # 13990

The roots of the 7th day Baptist & Churchy & of are very much the same as The History of The Church states an 76 day Bupt did not become an organization + Charter grantel wated 1880. So what they claim as Beptied before this even not by that mane. In the directory Denner did not mention this in their history I neither did we. The are still the same organization that wan in 1933.

He Royn,
Bookheyper for
The Cheuch of Lot, Selen 31. 7.

This is a postion of mingr hearing which went. dge for the Order that war I down

Whether the matter be concerned with real estate or personal property is immaterial from the standpoint of the application of that rule. There is not the slightest showing by the defendants that there was any compliance with the constitution of the National Salem Organization.

To boleter their position that the Mational Malen Organization has properly and legally adopted a serger the defendants stress at great length in the evidence, a sceting which took place at Stanbury, Alssouri, in August of 1949. A meeting which the defendants themselves say is the point at which the merger was completed. At that meeting some seventy -seven neaters out of an edwitted total of several thousand members of the Mational Jalem Organisation set to discuss among other problems, the question of merging with the National Stanbury Organization. The persons in attendance held no official position as delegates and case with no appropriaty to open of rapidly See record page 773 wherein it is stated

"Q. Did the Local Sales Church delegate you to attend

"A. business in the Sational Organization of the Church of God at beign was carried on by the officers of the Church and not through church lelegates.

"Q. It was carried on by the board of Twelve, the Seven,

is is the statement of w. w. McMicken, one of the former members the Estional Salem Organization who withdraw by his affiliation Sational Stanbury Organization, | See also record page 10 for the testimony of otto Master, also a defendant. The

They elected the board of Twelve but our organization only had deventy-five members out of the whole body present, and therefore, they could not speak and not a one of those es from any church. They were just

General Assembly. Even though a number of churches did not approve of the union the property and rights passed because the action was in conformity with the constitution and by-laws of the two denominational groups.

There will no doubt be an attempt by the defendants in this particular case to say that the existing National Salem Organization is a reconstituted denominational body and not the continuing denominational group which existed prior to 1949. The only difficulty with that line of argument is that there is no evidence to support such a position. In the first place, such a position requires that the defendants first show that there has been a valid, legally binding merger of denominational groups. This, as has been pointed out, is not shown by any action in conformity with the constitution of the National Salem organization nor incidentally has there been any showing that such action conforms with the charter and by-laws of the National Stanbury Organization.

At this point it may be apropose to point out that the National Stanbury organization was a corporation created and existing under the laws of the state of Missouri from 139% to the date this controversy arose. That on or about screber 5, 1949, there was filed in the office of the Secretary of State of the State of Missouri, an application by the general conference of the Church of God for a pro forma decree amending its charter.

Certified copy of this decree is filed with plaintiff's evidence and in the decree articles 1, 2 and 3 of the corporation are apparently amended but no where is any mention made of any reunion, merger or other consolidation by the National Stanbury Organization with any other incorporated or unincorporated religious body.

This should be proof enough that what was attempted here was not a merger but a transfer of assets without consideration, without

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

At a special term of the Circuit Court held in and for the County of Harrison, at the courthouse thereof, on the 30th day of April, 1957, the following order was entered:

(Excerpts only, omitting names, etc.)

"Upon due consideration of all of which the court is of the opinion that the legal tittle to the real estate in question, as shown by the tecord in this cause is in . . . Trusters of the Church of God, Seventh Day of Salem, West Virginia, to be held by said Trustees, or their successors, for the use and benefit of the Church of God, Seventh Day of Salem, West Virginia, and it appearing that the plaintiffs are entitled to the relief prayed for in this cause relative to said real estate, it is adjudged, ordered, and decreed that the defendants . . . be and they are, hereby permanently enjoined, prohibited and restrained from any wise, interfering with the use of said premises by the Church of God, Seventh Day of Salem, West Virginia.

And it further appearing from all of the evidence in this clause, and as disclosed by the record that the personal property in the city of Salem, West Virginia, consisting of account books, records, lists, books, machinery, and other items in the Publishing House located in the city of Salem, West Virginia, are the property of the religious denominational body known as The Church of God, Seventh Day, with headquarters in Salem, West Virginia, and that title thereto is in the Board of Seven of said organization, it is therefore adjudged, ordered, and decreed that the title to the personal property in the Publishing House and in the city of Salem, West Virginia, is in the Board of Seven as Trustees of the Church of God, Seventh Day, with Headquarters in Salem, West Virginia, and shall be held and used by said Board in compliance with the purposes of The Church of God, Seventh Day, with headquarters in Salem, West Virginia, and the defendants... are hereby permanently enjoined from in any way interefering with the use of said property by the said Board of Seven, ...

It is further adjudged, ordered, and decreed that the plaintiffs do recover of and from . . ., their costs in and about the prosecution of this suit in their behalf expended, including a statutory docket fee . ."

ATTEST: Raymond C. Wolfe

Clerk of he Circuit Court of

Harrison County, West Virginia.

NOTE: The foregoing is a true copy (with

gears through the Court for this order the handed down. This is why it was told we were another organization operating at Selem during these senen years, of course many believed this to he muse.

There are 28 pages to the momorandism but it have enclosed only two of the local dang with the Sele member so it can be varified as to legal records. # 13990

The rook of the 1th day

Beptist & Church of It of one very

y The Church states as

76 day Expt did not become

an organization + Charles grantel

until 1880. It what they claim as

Beptist higher this were not
by that mame.

In the directory Denow did not mention this in their hickory I neither did we . He are still the same organization that was

The Rayer of Sten Sten N. M.